## Dr. Linda Cohen <br> CEQ for EMER 6020: Qualitative Research Methods 2015

Ave. response rate $\quad 50.0 \%$
Ratings, Q7: 1=poor; 2=fair; 3=good; 4= very good; 5=excellent
7. Overall, the quality of instruction was ...

| Poor | Rating | $\frac{\text { Count }}{0}$ | $\frac{\text { Percent }}{0.0 \%}$ | Q7 > EMER 6020, Cohen/ 2015 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fair | 2 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.45 <br> 0.4 |  |  |  |  | $7$ |
| Good | 3 | 1 | 11.1\% | 0.4 0.35 0.3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Very Good | 4 | 4 | 44.4\% | 0.3 0.25 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Excellent | 5 | 4 | 44.4\% | $\begin{aligned} & 0.2 \\ & 0.15 \\ & 0.15 \end{aligned}$ |  | 0.0\% | 11.1\% |  |  |
|  | $\mathrm{N}=$ | 9 |  | 0.1 0.05 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average | Means | 4.33 |  |  | 1 | 2 | $\begin{gathered} { }^{3} \\ \text { Ratings } \end{gathered}$ | 4 | 5 |

Ratings,next Qs: 1=strongly disagree; 2=disagree; 3=neutral; 4=agree; 5=strongly agree

1. The requirements of the course were clear.

2. The instructor responded to students' questions effectively.
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3. The instructor treated students respectfully.

4. The instructor stimulated my interest in learning the subject matter of the course.

5. Useful feedback was provided on my work.
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6. The instructor communicated course concepts effectively.

13. Overall the course was well organized.


